# L12: Intradomain Routing

Hui Chen, Ph.D. Dept. of Engineering & Computer Science Virginia State University Petersburg, VA 23806

#### Acknowledgements

- Some pictures used in this presentation were obtained from the Internet
- □ The instructor used the following references
  - Larry L. Peterson and Bruce S. Davie, Computer Networks: A Systems Approach, 5th Edition, Elsevier, 2011
  - Andrew S. Tanenbaum, Computer Networks, 5th Edition, Prentice-Hall, 2010
  - James F. Kurose and Keith W. Ross, Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach, 5th Ed., Addison Wesley, 2009
  - Larry L. Peterson's (http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~llp/) Computer Networks class web site

## Forwarding vs. Routing

#### **D** Forwarding:

- to select an output port based on destination address and routing table
- □ Routing:
  - to process by which routing table is built

# Forwarding Table vs. Routing Table

#### **□** Forwarding table

- Used when a packet is being forwarded and must contain enough information to accomplish the forwarding function
- A row in the forwarding table contains the mapping from a network number to an outgoing interface and some MAC information, such as Ethernet Address of the next hop

#### **□** Routing table

- Built by the routing algorithm as a precursor to build the forwarding table
- Generally contains mapping from network numbers to next hops

# Forwarding Table vs. Routing Table: Example

□ Example rows from (a) routing and (b) forwarding tables

(a)					
Prefix/Length	Next Hop				
18/8	171.69.245.10				
(b)					
Prefix/Length	Interface	MAC Address			
18/8	ifO	8:0:2b:e4:b:1:2			

#### Modeling Internetworks as Graph for Routing



#### Exercise L12-1

Use routers as nodes, connections between routers as edges, please construct the graph of the internet shown below



# Routing

□ Model Network as a Graph



- □ Routing problem
  - To find the lowest-cost path between any two nodes
  - where the cost of a path equals to the sum of the costs of all the edges that make up the path

# Routing

- Calculate all shortest paths and load them into some nonvolatile storage on each node
  - Such a static approach has several shortcomings
    - □ It does not deal with node or link failures
    - □ It does not consider the addition of new nodes or links
    - □ It implies that edge costs cannot change
- □ What is the solution?
  - Need a distributed and dynamic protocol
    - **Two main classes of protocols** 
      - Distance Vector
      - Link State

#### **Distance Vector**

- Each node constructs a one dimensional array (a vector) containing the "distances" (costs) to all other nodes and distributes that vector to its immediate neighbors
- □ Starting assumption is that each node knows the cost of the link to each of its directly connected neighbors

# Distance From a Node to Other Nodes



What is the (shortest) distance from A to B?
 What is the (shortest) distance from A to C?
 What is the (shortest) distance from A to D?

□ What is the (shortest) distance from A to D?

#### Distance Vector: Example

□ Initial distances stored at each node (*global view*)

Information Distance to Reach Node								
F	Stored at Node	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
	А	0	1	1	8	1	1	$\infty$
	В	1	0	1	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$
	С	1	1	0	1	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$
	D	$\infty$	$\infty$	1	0	$\infty$	$\infty$	1
No nodo has this global	E	1	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	0	$\infty$	$\infty$
I no node has this global	F	1	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	0	1
view!	G	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	1	$\infty$	1	0

# Distance Vector: Example of Initial Routing Table

#### □ Initial routing table at node A



Destination	Cost	NextHop
В	1	В
С	1	С
D	$\infty$	—
E	1	E
F	1	F
G	$\infty$	—

# Distance Vector: Example of Final Routing Table

#### □ Final routing table at node A



#### Exercise L12-2

□ Given an internetwork below, construct the *initial* routing table for the distance vector routing algorithm at *router C* (by filling the provided table below)



#### **Distance Vector: Example**

□ Final distances stored at each node (*global view*)



Information	Distance to Reach Node									
Stored at Node	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G			
А	0	1	1	2	1	1	2			
В	1	0	1	2	2	2	3			
С	1	1	0	1	2	2	2			
D	2	2	1	0	3	2	1			
E	1	2	2	3	0	2	3			
F	1	2	2	2	2	0	1			
G	2	3	2	1	3	1	0			

No node has this global view!

#### Exercise L12-3

□ Given an internetwork below, construct the *final* routing table for the distance vector routing algorithm at *router C* (by filling the provided table below)



# Distance Vector Routing Algorithm

- □ Sometimes called as *Bellman-Ford* algorithm
- Main idea
  - Every T seconds each router sends its table to its neighbor each router then updates its table based on the new information
- □ Problems
  - Fast response to good news, but slow response to bad news
  - Also too many messages to update

# Distance Vector Routing Algorithm: More Details

- Each node maintains a routing table consisting of a set of triples
  - (Destination, Cost, NextHop)
- Exchange updates directly connected neighbors
  - periodically (on the order of several seconds)
  - whenever table changes (called *triggered update*)
- □ Each update is a list of pairs:
  - (Destination, Cost): from sending router to destination
  - Update local table if receive a "better" route
    - □ smaller cost
    - □ came from next-hop
- □ Refresh existing routes; delete if they time out

C's initial routing table

# Table Update Example: Exchange updates between A and C

□ Then A sends an update to C

Destination	Cost
В	1
С	1
D	$\infty$
E	1
F	1
G	$\infty$

Destination	Cost	Next Hop
A	1	A
В	1	В
D	1	D
E	8	-
F	8	-
G	8	-

#### C's updated routing table

Destination	Cost	Next Hop
А	1	А
В	1	В
D	1	D
E	2	А
F	2	А
G	8	-

#### Table Update from A at C

				Destination	C	ost	Next Hop		
Destination	Cost			В	2		A		
В	1			C	2		Δ		
D	~ -	L 1 -	-				л Л		
F	1	T I -	•	D	$\infty$		A		
F	1	τ		E	2		A		
G	$\infty$			F	2		А		
			$\sim$	G	$\infty$		А		
Destination	n Cost	Next Hop				De	stination	Cost	Next Hop
А	1	А				А		1	A
В	1	В				В		1	В
D	1	D				D		1	D
E	$\infty$	-		$\square$		Е		2	A
F	$\infty$	-		V		F		2	A
G	$\infty$	-				G		$\infty$	-

09/30/2015

CSCI 445 – Fall 2015

#### Convergence

- Process of getting consistent routing information to all the nodes
- Desired results: routing tables converges to a stable global table (no more changes upon receiving updates from neighbors)

Information	Distance to Reach Node						
Stored at Node	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G
А	0	1	1	2	1	1	2
В	1	0	1	2	2	2	3
С	1	1	0	1	2	2	2
D	2	2	1	0	3	2	1
Е	1	2	2	3	0	2	3
F	1	2	2	2	2	0	1
G	2	3	2	1	3	1	0

#### Link Failure: Example

#### □ When a node detects a link failure

- F detects that link to G has failed
- F sets distance to G to infinity and sends update to A
- A sets distance to G to infinity since it uses F to reach G
- A receives periodic update from C with 2-hop path to G
- A sets distance to G to 3 and sends update to F
- F decides it can reach G in 4 hops via A



## Count-to-infinity Problem

□ Slightly different circumstances can prevent the network from *stabilizing* 

- Suppose the link from A to E goes down
- In the next round of updates, A advertises a distance of infinity to E, but B and C advertise a distance of 2 to E
- Depending on the exact timing of events, the following might happen
  - Node B, upon hearing that E can be reached in 2 hops from C, concludes that it can reach E in 3 hops and advertises this to A
  - Node A concludes that it can reach E in 4 hops and advertises this to C
  - Node C concludes that it can reach E in 5 hops; and so on.
  - This cycle stops only when the distances reach some number that is large enough to be considered infinite



#### Count-to-infinity Problem: Solutions

- Use some relatively small number as an approximation of infinity
- For example, the maximum number of hops to get across a certain network is never going to be more than 16
  - Set infinity to 16
  - Stabilize fast, but not working for larger networks
- One technique to improve the time to stabilize routing is called *split horizon*

#### Split Horizon

- When a node sends a routing update to its neighbors, it does *not* send those routes it learned from each neighbor *back* to that neighbor
- For example, if B has the route (E, 2, A) in its table, then it knows it must have learned this route from A, and so whenever B sends a routing update to A, it does not include the route (E, 2) in that update

#### Split Horizon with Poison Reverse

- □ In a stronger version of split horizon, called *split horizon with poison reverse* 
  - B actually sends that back route to A, but it puts negative information in the route to ensure that A will not eventually use B to get to E

For example, B sends the route  $(E, \infty)$  to A

#### **Routing Information Protocol**

- □ Routing Information Protocol (RIP)
  - Initially distributed along with BSD Unix
  - Widely used
- Straightforward implementation of distance-vector routing

# Routing Information Protocol (RIP)

#### □ Distance: cost (# of routers) of reach a network

 $\bullet C \rightarrow A$ 

□ Network 2 at cost 0; 3 at cost 0

Network 5 at cost 1, 4 at 2



Example Network

) {	3 1	6	3			
Command	Version	Must be zero				
Family c	of net 1	Route Tags				
Address prefix of net 1						
Mask of net 1						
	Distance	to net 1				
Family c	of net 2	Route Tags				
Address prefix of net 2						
Mask of net 2						
Distance to net 2						

RIPv2 Packet Format

## Link State Routing

- □ Strategy: Send to all nodes (not just neighbors) information about directly connected links (not entire routing table).
- □ Link State Packet (LSP)
  - id of the node that created the LSP
  - cost of link to each directly connected neighbor
  - sequence number (SEQNO)
  - time-to-live (TTL) for this packet
- □ Reliable Flooding
  - store most recent LSP from each node
  - forward LSP to all nodes but one that sent it
  - generate new LSP periodically; increment SEQNO
  - start SEQNO at 0 when reboot
  - decrement TTL of each stored LSP; discard when TTL=0

#### Link State Routing

- □ Reliable flooding triggered by
  - Timer
  - Topology or link cost change
- □ increment SEQNO
  - start SEQNO at 0 when reboot
  - SEQNO does not wrap
    - **e**.g., 64 bits
  - decrement TTL of each stored LSP
- □ discard when TTL=0

# Link State Routing: Example

#### □ Reliable Flooding



Flooding of link-state packets. (a) LSP arrives at node X; (b) X floods LSP to A and C; (c) A and C flood LSP to B (but not X); (d) flooding is complete

# Shortest Path Routing Algorithm

#### Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Assume non-negative link weights
- N: set of nodes in the graph
- I(i, j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes i, j ∈N and l(i, j) = ∞ if no edge connects i and j
- Let s ∈ N be the starting node which executes the algorithm to find shortest paths to all other nodes in N
- Two variables used by the algorithm
  - M: set of nodes incorporated so far by the algorithm
  - $\square$  C(n) : the cost of the path from s to each node n

# Shortest Path Routing Algorithm

Dijkstra's Algorithm - Assume non-negative link weights

```
M = \{s\}
For each n in N - \{s\}
C(n) = l(s, n)
while (N \neq M)
M = M \cup {w} such that C(w) is the minimum
for all w in (N-M)
For each n in (N-M)
C(n) = MIN (C(n), C(w) + l(w, n))
```

#### Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm



#### Exercise L12-4

 Following the example illustrated and using the Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm, find the shortest path to all the other nodes from node D and show steps



# Shortest Path Routing Algorithm

- In practice, each switch computes its routing table directly from the LSPs it has collected using a realization of Dijkstra's algorithm called the *forward search algorithm*
- Specifically each switch maintains two lists, known as Tentative and Confirmed
- Each of these lists contains a set of entries of the form (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

# Shortest Path Routing Algorithm in Linked State Routing

#### **□** Each router runs the algorithm

- Initialize the **Confirmed** list with an entry for myself; this entry has a cost of 0
- For the node just added to the Confirmed list in the previous step, call it node Next, select its LSP
- For each neighbor (Neighbor) of Next, calculate the cost (Cost) to reach this Neighbor as the sum of the cost from myself to Next and from Next to Neighbor
  - If Neighbor is currently on neither the Confirmed nor the Tentative list, then add (Neighbor, Cost, Nexthop) to the Tentative list, where Nexthop is the direction I go to reach Next
  - If Neighbor is currently on the Tentative list, and the Cost is less than the currently listed cost for the Neighbor, then replace the current entry with (Neighbor, Cost, Nexthop) where Nexthop is the direction I go to reach Next
- If the Tentative list is empty, stop. Otherwise, pick the entry from the Tentative list with the lowest cost, move it to the Confirmed list, and return to Step 2.

# Shortest Path Routing: Example

□ Forward search algorithm: building routing table in D from received LSP's

5 B 3				
(A) 10	$ \longrightarrow $	c		
11	Step	Confirmed	Tentative	Comments
	1	(D,0,-)		Since D is the only new member of the confirmed list, look at its LSP.
	2	(D,0,-)	(B,11,B) (C,2,C)	D's LSP says we can reach B through B at cost 11, which is better than anything else on either list, so put it on Tentative list; same for C.
	3	(D,0,–) (C,2,C)	(B,11,B)	Put lowest-cost member of Tentative (C) onto Confirmed list. Next, examine LSP of newly con- firmed member (C).
	4	(D,0,-) (C,2,C)	(B,5,C) (A,12,C)	Cost to reach B through C is 5, so replace (B,11,B). C's LSP tells us that we can reach A at cost 12.
	5	(D,0,-) (C,2,C) (B,5,C)	(A,12,C)	Move lowest-cost member of Tentative (B) to Confirmed, then look at its LSP.
	6	(D,0,-) (C,2,C) (B,5,C)	(A,10,C)	Since we can reach A at cost 5 through B, replace the Tentative entry.
	7	(D,0,-) (C,2,C) (B,5,C) (A,10,C)		Move lowest-cost member of Tentative (A) to Confirmed, and we are all done.

#### Link State in Practice

- Open Shortest Path First Protocol (OSPF)
  - "Open" → open, non-proprietary standard, created under the auspices of the IETF
  - "SPF" → Shortest Path First, alternative name of link-state routing
- □ Implementation of Link-State Routing with added features
  - Authenticating of routing messages
    - Due to the fact too often some misconfigured hosts decide they can reach every host in the universe at a cost of 0
  - Additional hierarchy
    - **\square** Partition domain into areas  $\rightarrow$  increase scalability
  - Load balancing
    - Allows multiple routes to the same place to be assigned the same cost → cause traffic to be distributed evenly over those routes

## **Open Shortest Path First Protocol**

#### 8 16 31 Version Message length Type SourceAddr Areald Checksum Authentication type Authentication TTTDO Protocol Doaltot name

**OSPF** Header Format

#### **OSPF** Link State Advertisement

	LS /	Age	Options	Type=1			
	Link-state ID						
		Advertisi	ng router				
		LS sequen	ce number				
	LS che	cksum	Len	gth			
0	Flags	0	Number of links				
		Lin	k ID				
		Link	data				
Link	type	Num_TOS	Metric				
	Optional TOS information						
		More	links				

function

1 J D C	racket name	
1	Hello	Discover/maintain neighbors
2	Database Description	Summarize database contents
3	Link State Request	Database download
4	Link State Update	Database update
5 09/30/2015	Link State Ack	Flooding acknowledgment CSCI 445 - Fall 2015
09/30/2015		CSCI 445 – Fall 2015

#### **Metrics**

- Original ARPANET metric
  - measures number of packets enqueued on each link
  - took neither latency or bandwidth into consideration
- □ New ARPANET metric
  - stamp each incoming packet with its arrival time (AT)
  - record departure time (DT)
  - when link-level ACK arrives, compute
- $\Box \quad \text{Delay} = (\text{DT} \text{AT}) + \text{Transmit} + \text{Latency}$ 
  - if timeout, reset DT to departure time for retransmission
  - link cost = average delay over some time period
- □ Fine Tuning
  - compressed dynamic range
  - replaced Delay with link utilization

#### Summary

- □ Distance Vector
  - Algorithm
  - Routing Information Protocol (RIP)
- □ Link State
  - Algorithm
  - Open Shortest Path First Protocol (OSPF)
- □ Metrics
  - How to measure link cost?