

CISC 3320

# Main Memory: Structure of Page Table

Hui Chen

Department of Computer & Information Science

CUNY Brooklyn College

# Acknowledgement

- These slides are a revision of the slides provided by the authors of the textbook

# Outline

- Structure of the Page Table
  - Hierarchical Paging
  - Hashed Page Tables
  - Inverted Page Tables
- Swapping
- Example: The Intel 32 and 64-bit Architectures
- Example: ARMv8 Architecture

# Huge Page Table?


- Memory structures for paging can get huge using straight-forward methods
  - Consider a 32-bit logical address space
    - Page size of 4 KB ( $2^{12}$ )
    - Page table would have 1 million entries ( $2^{32} / 2^{12}$ )
    - If each entry is 4 bytes → each process 4 MB of physical address space for the page table alone
    - Don't want to allocate that contiguously in main memory
  - How about a 64-bit logical address space?

# Structuring Page Table

- Hierarchical Paging
- Hashed Page Tables
- Inverted Page Tables

# Hierarchical Page Tables


- Break up the logical address space into multiple levels of page tables
- Example
  - A simple technique is a two-level page table
  - i.e., we page the page table



# Two-Level Paging: Example

- A logical address (on 32-bit machine with 1K page size) is divided into:
  - a page number consisting of 22 bits
  - a page offset consisting of 10 bits
- Since the page table is paged, the page number is further divided into:
  - a 10-bit page number
  - a 12-bit page offset


- Thus, a logical address is as follows:



- where  $p_1$  is an index into the outer page table, and  $p_2$  is the displacement within the page of the inner page table
- Known as **forward-mapped page table**




# Address-Translation Scheme



# 64-bit Logical Address Space



- Even two-level paging scheme not sufficient
- If page size is 4 KB ( $2^{12}$ )
  - Then page table has  $2^{52}$  entries
  - If two level scheme, inner page tables could be  $2^{10}$  4-byte entries
  - Address would look like



- Outer page table has  $2^{42}$  entries or  $2^{44}$  bytes
- One solution is to add a 2<sup>nd</sup> outer page table
- But in the following example the 2<sup>nd</sup> outer page table is still  $2^{34}$  bytes in size
  - And possibly 4 memory access to get to one physical memory location

# Three-Level Paging Scheme


- Example: 2-level and 3-level paging schemes



# Hashed Page Tables

- Common in address spaces  $> 32$  bits
- The virtual page number is hashed into a page table
  - This page table contains a chain of elements hashing to the same location
- Each element contains (1) the virtual page number (2) the value of the mapped page frame (3) a pointer to the next element
- Virtual page numbers are compared in this chain searching for a match
  - If a match is found, the corresponding physical frame is extracted
- Variation for 64-bit addresses is **clustered page tables**
  - Similar to hashed but each entry refers to several pages (such as 16) rather than 1
  - Especially useful for **sparse** address spaces (where memory references are non-contiguous and scattered)


# Hashed Page Table



# Inverted Page Table

- Rather than each process having a page table and keeping track of all possible logical pages, *track all physical pages (thus inverted)*
- One entry for each real page of memory
- Entry consists of the virtual address of the page stored in that real memory location, with information about the process that owns that page
- Decreases memory needed to store each page table, but increases time needed to search the table when a page reference occurs
- Use hash table to limit the search to one — or at most a few — page-table entries
  - TLB can accelerate access
- But how to implement shared memory?
  - One mapping of a virtual address to the shared physical address

# Inverted Page Table Architecture



# Oracle SPARC Solaris

- Consider modern, 64-bit operating system example with tightly integrated HW
  - Goals are efficiency, low overhead
- Based on hashing, but more complex
- Two hash tables
  - One kernel and one for all user processes
  - Each maps memory addresses from virtual to physical memory
  - Each entry represents a contiguous area of mapped virtual memory,
    - More efficient than having a separate hash-table entry for each page
  - Each entry has base address and span (indicating the number of pages the entry represents)



# Oracle SPARC Solaris

- TLB holds translation table entries (TTEs) for fast hardware lookups
  - A cache of TTEs reside in a translation storage buffer (TSB)
    - Includes an entry per recently accessed page
- Virtual address reference causes TLB search
  - If miss, hardware walks the in-memory TSB looking for the TTE corresponding to the address
    - If match found, the CPU copies the TSB entry into the TLB and translation completes
    - If no match found, kernel interrupted to search the hash table
      - The kernel then creates a TTE from the appropriate hash table and stores it in the TSB, Interrupt handler returns control to the MMU, which completes the address translation.

# Questions?

- Page table too large?
  - Hierarchical Paging
  - Hashed Page Tables
  - Inverted Page Tables